

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE THE PROOF OF CLAIM
 FILED BY INTERFACE CABLE ASSEMBLIES & SERVICES CORP. (CLAIM NO. 23).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
 LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL,
 ERIC D. KASENETZ, AT 212-310-8737.**

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
 767 Fifth Avenue
 New York, New York 10153
 Telephone: (212) 310-8000
 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
 Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
 and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON OBJECTION TO CLAIM
 OF INTERFACE CABLE ASSEMBLIES & SERVICES CORP. (CLAIM NO. 23)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 18, 2013, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, filed its objection to Claim No. 23 of Interface Cable Assemblies & Services Corp. (the “Objection”), and that a hearing (the “Hearing”) to consider the Objection will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 601 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the

Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **February 28, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Objection must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and shall be served in accordance with General Order M-399 upon (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) attorneys for LBHI, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Robert J. Lemons, Esq. and Maurice Horwitz, Esq.); and (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Elisabetta Gasparini, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **February 19, 2013 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the "Response Deadline").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Objection, the Plan Administrator may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Objection, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: January 18, 2013
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : **Chapter 11 Case No.**
:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : **08-13555 (JMP)**
:
Debtors. : **(Jointly Administered)**
-----X

**OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF INTERFACE
CABLE ASSEMBLIES & SERVICES CORP. (CLAIM NO. 23)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE THE PROOF OF CLAIM
FILED BY INTERFACE CABLE ASSEMBLIES & SERVICES CORP. (CLAIM NO. 23).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC.'S COUNSEL,
ERIC D. KASENETZ, AT 212-310-8737.**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (“LBHI” and the “Plan Administrator”), as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and Its Affiliated Debtors (the “Plan”) for the entities in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases (the “Chapter 11 Estates”), respectfully represents as follows:

Relief Requested

1. The Plan Administrator files this objection (the “Objection”), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), seeking disallowance and expungement of the claim filed by Interface Cable Assemblies & Services Corp. (“ICAS”), Claim No. 23 (the “Claim”).

2. The Claim violates this Court’s order, dated July 2, 2009, setting forth the procedures and deadlines for filing proofs of claim in these chapter 11 cases (the “Bar Date Order”) [ECF No. 4271], as it was submitted with insufficient documentation and ICAS failed to produce sufficient documentation upon request. The Plan Administrator requested that ICAS provide additional documentation to support the Claim. However, ICAS did not provide the Plan Administrator with any additional information. The Claim does not constitute a valid *prima facie* claim, and the Plan Administrator requests that it be disallowed and expunged in its entirety.

Jurisdiction

3. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Background

4. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter, (as applicable, the “Commencement Date”), LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. These chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

5. On July 2, 2009, this Court entered the Bar Date Order, which requires, among other things, that “each Proof of Claim must: . . . (vi) include supporting documentation or an explanation as to why documentation is not available.” (Bar Date Order at 6.) The supporting documentation requirement was specifically set forth on the face of the Court-approved proof of claim form. (*Id.* at Exhibit B.) Furthermore, the Bar Date Order provides that “any holder of a claim against the Debtors who is required, but fails to file a proof of such claim in accordance with the Bar Date Order on or before the Bar Date . . . specifying the applicable Debtor and other requirements set forth herein, shall forever be barred, estopped, and enjoined from asserting such claim against the Debtors (or filing a Proof of Claim with respect thereto).” (*Id.* at 9-10). A copy of the Bar Date Order was made publicly available at <http://www.lehman-docket.com>.

6. Claimants also received notice of the Bar Date Order by mail. (*See* Notice of Deadlines for Filing Proofs of Claim (the “Bar Date Notice”).) In the Bar Date Notice, which also was published in The New York Times (International Edition), The Wall Street Journal (International Edition), and The Financial Times, claimants were specifically instructed that “[i]f you file a Proof of Claim, your filed Proof of Claim must: . . . (vi) include supporting

documentation or an explanation as to why documentation is not available.” (Bar Date Notice at 4.)

7. Claimants who filed a proof of claim prior to entry of the Bar Date Order were instructed that they need not file a new claim if their proof of claim substantially conformed to the Court-approved proof of claim form, which form clearly set forth the requirement that claimants provide supporting documentation with their claim form or an explanation as to why such documentation is unavailable. (*Id.* at 2.) The Bar Date Notice also prominently stated in bold-face type that **“any creditor who fails to file a Proof of Claim in accordance with the Bar Date Order on or before the Bar Date . . . specifying the applicable Debtor and other requirements set forth in the Bar Date Order, for any claim such creditor holds or wishes to assert against the Debtors, will be forever barred, estopped, and enjoined from asserting such claim (and from filing a Proof of Claim with respect to such claim).”** (*Id.* at 6 (emphasis in original).)

8. On December 6, 2011, the Court entered an order confirming the Plan [ECF No. 23023]. The Plan became effective on March 6, 2012. Pursuant to the Plan, the Plan Administrator is authorized to interpose and prosecute objections to claims filed against the Chapter 11 Estates.

The Claim Should Be Disallowed and Expunged

9. The Claim should be disallowed and expunged on the basis that it does not include sufficient supporting documentation and the holder of the Claim, ICAS, did not produce sufficient documentation upon request. The Claim, therefore, does not constitute a valid *prima facie* claim.

10. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim’s essential

allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

11. The Bar Date Order specifically requires that “each Proof of Claim *must*: . . . (vi) include supporting documentation or an explanation as to why documentation is not available.” (Bar Date Order at 6 (emphasis added).) This requirement for proofs of claim is not a unique one. Indeed, this Court and others in the Southern District of New York have entered similar orders requiring that proofs of claim include supporting documentation or an explanation as to why documentation is unavailable. *See In re Finlay Enterprises, Inc.*, No. 09-14873 (JMP) (Peck, J.), Oct. 20, 2009 Order [ECF No. 316] at 6; *see also In re AGT Crunch Acquisition LLC, et al.*, No. 09-12889 (REG) (Gerber, J.), Oct. 14, 2009 Order [ECF No. 554] at 2-3. The Bankruptcy Rules’ official proof of claim form also includes this standard requirement. However, the Claim does not include any substantive supporting documentation, such as detailed invoices and explanations thereof, and ICAS did not produce sufficient documentation upon request.

12. ICAS was specifically provided notice of the Bar Date Order’s supporting documentation requirement via the Bar Date Notice. The Bar Date Notice included instructions on how to complete the proof of claim forms and a warning that failure to comply with those instructions would result in claims being barred. (*See* Bar Date Notice at 4, 6.) ICAS was also notified that it needed to submit new proofs of claim if its claims submitted prior to the Bar Date Order did not substantially conform to the Court-approved proof of claim form, which clearly set

forth the supporting documentation requirement. (*See id.* at 2.) Nevertheless, the Claim was submitted without the required supporting documentation.

13. Prior to filing this Objection, the Plan Administrator requested that ICAS provide additional documentation to support the Claim. No additional documentation was provided by ICAS.

14. Without additional information regarding the Claim, the Plan Administrator is unable to evaluate the merits and validity of the Claim. Nothing in the books and records of the Chapter 11 Estates, including payment history with ICAS, provides any information clarifying or confirming the validity or accuracy of, or the unique payment obligations of any of the Chapter 11 Estates with respect to, the Claim. Because the Claim fails to comply with the Bar Date Order's specific direction that claims include supporting documentation and ICAS did not produce any additional documentation upon request, the Claim does not constitute a valid *prima facie* claim. The Plan Administrator, therefore, requests that the Court disallow and expunge the Claim in its entirety.

Reservation of Rights

15. The Plan Administrator reserves all rights to object on any other basis to the Claim to the extent that the relief requested herein is not granted.

Notice

16. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. Notice of the Objection has been provided to (i) the United States Trustee for Region 2; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iii) the Internal Revenue Service; (iv) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (v) ICAS; and (vi) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010,

governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]. The Plan Administrator submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

17. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Plan Administrator or the Chapter 11 Estates to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Plan Administrator respectfully requests entry of an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: January 18, 2013
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.
and Certain of Its Affiliates

EXHIBIT A

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : **Chapter 11 Case No.**
 :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : **08-13555 (JMP)**
 :
Debtors. : **(Jointly Administered)**
-----X

**ORDER GRANTING OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF
INTERFACE CABLE ASSEMBLIES & SERVICES CORP. (CLAIM NO. 23)**

Upon the objection, dated January 18, 2013 (the “Objection”),¹ of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., as Plan Administrator under the Modified Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors, pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, seeking to disallow and expunge the claim filed by Interface Cable Assemblies & Services Corp., Claim No. 23 (the “Claim”), all as more fully described in the Objection; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Objection is in the best interests of the Chapter 11 Estates, their creditors, and all parties in interest, and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Objection is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Objection.

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Claim is disallowed and expunged in its entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2013
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE